

In the matter of: Auction No.58 pro se bidder, Ameer Flippin's Reply to  
Opposition by  
Petition Numerous Parties concerning the issue of licenses following a  
To Deny

AMEER FLIPPIN,		WT Docket No.05-149
Pro Se Bidder/Plaintiff		Report No. AUC-58
vs.		Appellate Case #:
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS		
COMMISSION, et.al.		
Respondents		

I, Ameer Flippin, a pro se bidder in Broadband PCS Auction No.58, do hereby affirm my “Ex-Parte Reply To Opposition of Ex-Parte Motion and Petition To Deny Long-Forms.”

1

**Filed at the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington, DC 20554**

participants in Auction No.58 similarly reserved and executed Constitutional and legal rights while dealing with the Federal Communications Commission. The “Commission” is required by law to respond to all documents filed with the “Commission,” especially during an auction proceeding. Royal Street Communications “untruthfully” alleges that a Short Form application was never filed by myself, Ameer Flippin, but goes on to include documents in the appendix which give a timeline for all documents filed by myself, “Ameer Flippin,” with the “Commission.” The electronic execution of service prior to a window for “Petitions To Deny” is normal protocol in the execution of service just prior to officially filing documents with the Federal Communications Commission. All required documents were timely filed with Federal Communications Commission Secretary Marlene Dortch, both electronically and manually, although some were filed on more than one occasion. A motion to the “Commission” executing Freedom of Information Rights will clarify all questions of filing implemented by Ameer Flippin.

A Motion to Stay the Issuance of Licenses was filed both at the Federal Communications Commission and the Court of Appeals in the District of Columbia Circuit. The Petition To Deny Long-Forms indicated the entities which were in conflict with Ameer Flippin’s “High & Winning Bids.” Royal Street Communications and 20 other entities were listed where there was a conflict in High & Winning Bids. Motions and documents were filed with the

Filed at the  
**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**  
**Washington, DC 20554**

ECFS system, but were not disclosed to the general public by the Federal Communications Commission. Public Notice DA 05-459 indicated when long-forms were accepted for filing. Royal Street Communications argues the issue of “interested party.” Ameer Flippin is undoubtedly an interested party in the sense that documents relating to Auction No. 58 were filed throughout the auction process. “Direct Injury” resulted upon incarceration, during a conspiracy orchestrated by the Executive Branch of the Federal government, in the middle of Auction No.58, which led to the resignations of several high ranking officials across numerous branches of government. The legal Constitutional standards applied do not require the disclosure of eligibility. Broadband PCS Auction No.58 was stylized for “Designated Entities – Small Companies” to participate in the auction process. This affidavit is being filed in accordance with the guidelines of the Federal Communications Commission, the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and the Supreme Court Rules of the United States of America.

I declare “**UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY**” that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTION DATE May 10<sup>th</sup>, 2005

SIGNATURE \_\_\_\_\_

Pro Se Bidder, Ameer Flippin  
2053 Wilson Road  
Memphis, TN 38116  
(901)216-0195